

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,195	11/03/2003	Karl-Friedrich Muck	05587-00359-US	3944	
23416 7590 04/15/2005 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			EXAMINER		
			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA		
	P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/700,195	MUCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this committee is	Irina S. Zemel	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuarv 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5, 13, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sakurai.

The disclosure of the cited reference is fully discussed in the previous office action and the rejection of claims 1-5 stands, primarily for the reasons set forth in the previous office action. Newly added limitation to the amounts of the respective structural units in the copolymer(as per claims 1, 13, 17 and 18) is met by the disclosure of illustrative examples specifying that the end block are present in the amounts of about 4-7 % by weight. Alternatively, the reference expressly states that the concentration of end blocks can be easily determined and varied to obtain polyacetals with the desired molecular weight. See column 10, lines 39-44. Thus, varying amounts of the end blocks in the resulting copolymers in order to obtain desired polyacetals would have been obvious from the disclosure of the reference. As previously discussed, the disclosed polymers can contain POM blocks can contain various comonomers such as those derived from ethylene oxide or various cyclic esters. See column 8, line 55 to column 9, line 32, and column 4 line 55 to column 5, line25.

Therefore, the invention as claimed in claims 1-5, 13, 15-18 is fully within the purview of the cited reference.

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai in combination with US Patent 4,431,794 to Sadlowski et al. (hereinafter "Sadlowski"), PGP 2003/0018104 to Mours et al., (hereinafter "Mours") and applicants disclosure.

The rejection of claims 9-12 stands as per reasons set frth in the previous office action and in view of the discussion of the Sakurai reference above.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai in combination with US 4,427,807 o Zimmerman et al., (hereinafter "Zimmerman").

The disclosure of Sakurai is discussed above. While the reference discloses polyoxymethylene blocks that can be obtained by reacting trioxane with cyclic esters, the reference does not disclose polyoxymethylene (POM) blocks that contain the third claimed comonomer, i.e. a dicyclic compound of formula IV. However, addition of such compounds, for example diclycidyls corresponding to formula Iva is well known in the art and would have been obvious from the disclosure of, for example, Zimmerman, who expressly discloses that addition of diglycidyl comonomers to POM copolymers results in improvement of various physical properties such as tensile strength.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1-21-2005 with respect of the product claims 1-5, 9-10, and 12 (and newly added claims 13-18) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The major argument presented by the applicants is that the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,195 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Sakurai, teaches terminal groups corresponding to the claimed formula (II). While this may be so, the claimed copolymers clearly read on block copolymers that contain only terminal blocks of structural unit (II). There is no requirement anywhere in the claims that the claimed copolymers contain block units (II) statistically introduced in the chain. nor the claimed copolymers require different blocks of formula (I) to be separated by block of units (II). The reference clearly discloses that the copolymers may be terminated by blocks (II) on both ends, and it further discloses that various oxymethylene copolymers (including those pf block structure with different comonomers) are within the scope of the claimed invention as illustrated by various formulas in columns 2-3. Therefore, the claimed copolymers fully correspond to the copolymers disclosed by the reference. In other words, the applicants argue features that are not claimed or required by the claimed language. Further, the reference clearly and expressly discloses addition of comonomer of formula (II) into POM blocks. Note that units (III) do not represent either blocks or polymers since z can be 1 as per claims 3. Therefore, contrary to applicants argument, subject matter claimed in claims 3 is not even "further removed", but expressly disclosed in the reference.

Applicant's arguments, filed on 1-21-2005, with respect to claims 6,7 and 11, i.e. method claims, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The respective rejections of these claims has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1711

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 6,7 and 11 are drawn to a process for preparing a copolymer by forming an initial charge of monomers forming POM unit and dihydroxy-terminated monomer of formula (V). The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest process for preparation of copolymers by admixing in the initial charge the di-hydroxy-terminated monomers of formula (V).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,195

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ISZ

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examinate Technology Center 1700